arXiv:1602.07827v3 [cs.CC] 22 Aug 2016

Minimum Cost Homomorphisms with Constrained Costs

Pavol Hell[1] and Mayssam Mohammadi Nevisi[1]

School of Computing Science, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, Canada,
pavol,mayssamm@sfu.ca*

Abstract. The minimum cost homomorphism problem is a natural optimization problem for homomorphisms to a fixed graph H. Given an input graph G, with a cost associated with mapping any vertex of G to any vertex of H, one seeks to minimize the sum of costs of the assignments over all homomorphisms of G to H. The complexity of this problem is well understood, as a function of the target graph H. For bipartite graphs H, the problem is polynomial time solvable if H is a proper interval bigraph, and is NP-complete otherwise. In many applications, the costs may be assumed to be the same for all vertices of the input graph. We study the complexity of this restricted version of the minimum cost homomorphism problem. Of course, the polynomial cases are still polynomial under this restriction. We expect the same will be true for the NP-complete cases, i.e., that the complexity classification will remain the same under the restriction. We verify this for the class of trees. For general graphs H, we prove a partial result: the problem is polynomial if H is a proper interval bigraph and is NP-complete when H is not chordal bipartite.

Keywords: homomorphisms, NP-completeness, dichotomy

1 Introduction

Suppose G and H are graphs (without loops or multiple edges). A *homomorphism* $f : G \to H$ is a mapping $V(G) \to V(H)$ such that $f(u)f(v) \in E(H)$ whenever $uv \in E(G)$. For a fixed graph H, a number of computational problems have been considered. In the *homomorphism problem*, one asks whether or not an input graph G admits a homomorphism to H. It is known that this problem is polynomial time solvable if H is bipartite, and is NP-complete otherwise [1]. In the *list homomorphism problem*, the input graph G is equipped with lists (sets) $L(x) \subseteq V(H)$, for all $x \in V(G)$, and one asks whether or not there exists a homomorphism $f : G \to H$ with $f(x) \in L(x)$ for all $x \in V(G)$. This problem is known to be polynomial time solvable if H is an interval bigraph,

* This work was partially supported by NSERC (Canada) and by ERCCZ LL 1201 Cores (Czech Republic); it was done in part while the first author was visiting the Simons Institute for the Theory of Computing

and is NP-complete otherwise [2]. (An *interval bigraph* is a bipartite graph H with parts X and Y such that there exist intervals $I_x, x \in X$, and $J_y, y \in Y$, for which $xy \in E(H)$ if and only if $I_x \cap J_y \neq \emptyset$.) In this paper we address the *minimum cost homomorphism problem*, in which the input graph is equipped with a cost function $c : V(G) \times V(H) \to \mathbb{N}$ and one tries to minimize the total cost $\sum_{u \in V(G)} c(u, f(u))$. Minimum cost homomorphism problems were introduced in [3]. They were motivated by an application in repair and maintenance scheduling; however, the problem arises in numerous other contexts, e.g. in the minimum colour sum problem and the optimum cost chromatic partition problem [4,5]. To state it as a decision problem, the input includes an integer k, and one asks whether or not there exists a homomorphism of total cost at most k. This problem is known to be polynomial time solvable if H is a proper interval bigraph, and is NP-complete otherwise [6]. (An interval bigraph is a *proper interval bigraph* if the above two families of intervals $I_x, x \in X$, and $J_y, y \in Y$ can be chosen to be inclusion-free, i.e., no I_x properly contains another $I_{x'}$ and similarly for the J_y's.)

These results are *dichotomies* in the sense that for each H the problem is polynomial time solvable or NP-complete. They have subsequently been studied in more general contexts, for graphs with possible loops, for digraphs, and for general relational structures (in the context of constraint satisfaction problems). In particular, there is a dichotomy for the homomorphism problem for graphs with possible loops [1], but dichotomy is only conjectured for digraphs (and more general structures) [7,8]. A dichotomy for list homomorphism problems for graphs with possible loops was established in [2,9], then a general dichotomy was proved for all relational systems in [10]. (A more structural dichotomy classification for digraphs was given in [12].) For minimum cost homomorphism problems, a dichotomy for graphs with possible loops is given in [6]. A structural dichotomy classification for digraphs was conjectured in [3], and proved in [11] (cf. [13,14]). Then a general dichotomy for all relational systems was proved in [15]. Even more general dichotomy results are known, for so-called finite valued constraint satisfaction problems [16].

It is easy to see that minimum cost homomorphism problems generalize list homomorphism problems, which in turn generalize homomorphism problems. Minimum cost homomorphism problems also generalize two graph optimization problems, the minimum colour sum problem, and the optimum cost chromatic partition problem [4,5]. In the former, the cost function has only two values, 0 and 1 (and $k = 0$). In the latter, the cost function is assumed to be constant across $V(G)$, i.e., $c(x, u) = c(u)$ for all $x \in V(G)$. This restriction, that costs only depend on vertices of H, appears quite natural even for the general minimum cost homomorphism problems, and appears not have been studied. In this paper we take the first steps in investigating its complexity.

Let H be a fixed graph. The *minimum constrained cost homomorphism problem* for H has as input a graph G, together with a cost function $c : V(H) \to \mathbb{N}$, and an integer k, and asks whether there is a homomorphism $f : G \to H$ of total cost $cost(f) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} c(f(u)) \leq k$.

It appears that the added constraint on the cost function may leave the dichotomy classification from [6] unchanged; in fact, we can show it does not change it for trees H (and in some additional cases, cf. Lemma 8 below.)

Theorem 1. *Let H be a fixed tree. Then the minimum constrained cost homomorphism problem to H is polynomial time solvable if H is a proper interval bigraph, and is NP-complete otherwise.*

We believe the same may be true for general graphs H. We have obtained the following partial classification.

Theorem 2. *Let H be a fixed graph. Then the minimum constrained cost homomorphism problem to H is polynomial time solvable if H is a proper interval bigraph, and is NP-complete if H is not a chordal bipartite graph.*

Of course, the first statement of the theorem follows from [6]. Only the second claim, the NP-completeness, needs to be proved. A bipartite graph H is *chordal bipartite* if it does not contain an induced cycle of length greater than four. Both chordal bipartite graphs and proper interval bigraphs can be recognized in polynomial time [17,18]. Proper interval bigraphs are a subclass of chordal bipartite graphs, and Lemma 8 below gives a forbidden subgraph characterization of proper interval bigraphs within the class of chordal bipartite graphs.

Our NP-completeness reductions in the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 use a shorthand, where vertices v of the input graph G have *weights* $w(v)$. Adding polynomially bounded vertex weights does not affect the time complexity of our problems. Let G, H be graphs, and, for every $v \in V(G)$ and every $i \in V(H)$, let $c_i(v)$ denote the cost of mapping v to i. Let $w : V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$ be a weight function. The weighted cost of a homomorphism $f : G \to H$ is $cost(f) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} w(v).c_{f(v)}(v)$. In the *weighted minimum cost homomorphism problem* for a fixed graph H, the input is a graph G, together with cost functions $c_i : V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$ (for all $i \in V(H)$), vertex weights $w : V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$, and an integer k; and the question is if there is a homomorphism of G to H of weighted cost at most k.

The variant with constrained costs is defined similarly: the *weighted minimum constrained cost homomorphism problem* for H has as input a graph G, cost function $c : V(H) \to \mathbb{N}$, vertex weights $w : V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$, and an integer k, and it asks if there is a homomorphism $f : G \to H$ with cost $\sum_{v \in V(G)} w(v).c(f(v)) \leq k$.

Clearly, when w is a polynomial function, the weighted minimum cost homomorphism problem and the minimum cost homomorphism problem are polynomially equivalent. It turns out that this is also the case for the problems with constrained costs.

Theorem 3. *Let H be a fixed graph. The minimum constrained cost homomorphism problem to H and the weighted minimum constrained cost homomorphism problem to H with polynomial weights are polynomially equivalent.*

2 Chordal Bipartite Graphs

In this section, we investigate the minimum constrained cost homomorphism problem for graphs H that contain an even cycle of length at least six as an induced subgraph. First we treat the case of hexagon, then we handle longer cycles.

Lemma 4. *Let H be a graph which contains hexagon as an induced subgraph. Then, the weighted minimum constrained cost homomorphism problem to H is NP-complete.*

For a fixed graph H, the *pre-colouring extension problem* to H takes as input a graph G in which some vertices v have been pre-assigned to images $f(v) \in V(H)$ (we say v is *pre-coloured* by $f(v)$), and asks whether or not there exists a homomorphism $f : G \to H$ that extends this pre-assignment. This can be viewed a special case of the list homomorphism problem to H (all lists are either singletons or the entire set $V(H)$), and has been studied under the name of One-Or-All list homomorphism problem, denoted OAL-HOM(H) [2]. Here we adopt the abbreviation OAL-HOM(H) for the pre-colouring extension problem.

The problem OAL-HOM(H) was first studied in [19,2].

Lemma 5. *[2] Let C be a cycle of length $2k$ with $k \geq 3$. Then the pre-colouring extension problem to C is NP-complete.*

We can now present the proof of Lemma 4.

Proof. The membership in NP is clear. Let $C = 1, 2, \cdots, 6$ denote the hexagon and $h_1h_2 \cdots h_6$ be an induced subgraph of H which is isomorphic to C. We reduce from the pre-colouring extension homomorphism problem to C.

Let (G, L) be an instance of OAL-HOM(C), i.e., G is a bipartite graph with $n \geq 2$ vertices and $m \geq 1$ edges, and some vertices v of G have been pre-assigned to $f(v) \in V(C)$. We construct an instance (G', c, w, T) of the weighted minimum constrained cost homomorphism problem to H as follows. The graph G' is a bipartite graph obtained from a copy of G, by adding, for every vertex $v \in V(G)$ pre-coloured k, a gadget that is the cartesian product of v and the hexagon, using six new vertices $(v, 1), (v, 2), \cdots, (v, 6)$, and six new edges $(v, 1)(v, 2), (v, 2)(v, 3), \cdots, (v, 6)(v, 1)$. We also connect v to exactly two neighbours of (v, k) in its corresponding gadget. A vertex v and its corresponding gadget is illustrated in Figure 1.

We define the vertex weight function w as follows.

- for every vertex v in the copy of G, let $w(v) = 1$
- for every pre-coloured vertex $v \in V(G)$:
  - $w((v, 1)) = w((v, 4)) = 5 \times 36n^3 + 1$,
  - $w((v, 2)) = w((v, 5)) = 1$,
  - $w((v, 3)) = 36n^2$,
  - $w((v, 6)) = 6n$.



Fig. 1. A gadget in G' for a vertex $v \in V(G)$ pre-coloured by 3

We define the homomorphism cost function c as follows.

- $c(h_1) = c(h_4) = 0$,
- $c(h_2) = c(h_5) = 36n^2$,
- $c(h_3) = 1$,
- $c(h_6) = 6n$,
- $c(h_i) = 5 \times 36n^3 + 1$ for all other vertices $h_i \in V(H)$.

Finally, we set $T = 5 \times 36n^3 = 180n^3$.

We now claim that there is an extension of the pre-colouring f to a homomorphism of G to C if and only if there is a homomorphism of G' to H with weighted cost at most T.

First, assume that the pre-colouring can be extended to a homomorphism $f : G \to C$. We define a homomorphism $g : G' \to H$ as follows.

- $g(u) = h_i$ iff $f(u) = i$ for every vertex $u \in V(G)$ and every $1 \leq i \leq 6$,
- $g((u,i)) = h_i$ for every vertex $u \in V(G)$ pre-coloured k and every $1 \leq i \leq 6$.

Claim. The function g is a homomorphism of G' to H. Moreover, it only maps vertices of G' to the copy of C in G, i.e., g only uses vertices $h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_6$.

To prove the above claim, we distinguish three types of edges in G'.

1. Edges uv corresponding to the edges in G ($u, v \in V(G)$): These are clearly mapped to edges in H by g as $g(u) = f(u)$ for all vertices $u \in V(G)$ and f is a homomorphism of G to C.
2. Edges $(u,i)(u,i+1)$ that connect two vertices of the gadgets: These edges map to the corresponding edge h_ih_{i+1} by definition of g (indices modulo 6).
3. Edges that connect a vertex $u \in V(G)$ to two vertices in its corresponding gadget: Notice that there is a gadget for u in G' only when u is pre-coloured i. So, we have $f(u) = i$. This further implies that $g(u) = h_i$. Also, notice that $g((u,i-1)) = h_{i-1}$ and $g((u,i+1)) = h_{i+1}$ by the definition of g (again, all indices modulo 6). Hence, edges $u(u,i-1)$ and $u(u,i+1)$ also map to edges $h_{i-1}h_i$ and h_ih_{i+1}, respectively.

This completes the proof of the above Claim. We now show that the cost of g is at most $T = 180n^3$.

- For every vertex $u \in V(G)$, $w(u) = 1$ and $c(g(u)) \leq 36n^2$. Also, there are exactly n such vertices in G'. This contributes at most $36n^3$ to the cost of the homomorphism.
- For every pre-coloured vertex $u \in V(G)$, its corresponding gadget contributes exactly $4 \times 36n^2$:
  - vertices $(u, 1)$ and $(u, 4)$ do not contribute, as $c(h_1) = c(h_4) = 0$,
  - vertices $(u, 2)$ and $(u, 5)$ each contribute $36n^2$,
  - vertices $(u, 3)$ and $(u, 6)$ each contributes $36n^2 = 6n \times 6n = 36n^2 \times 1$.

There are at most n gadgets in G' (one for every vertex $u \in V(G)$), and so, the total contribution of all vertices of the gadgets is at most $4 \times 36n^3$. Therefore, the cost of g is at most $5 \times 36n^3 = 180n^3 = T$.

Conversely, let g be a homomorphism of G' to H which costs at most T. We prove that there is a homomorphism $f : G \to C$ extending the pre-colouring. First, we show that g has the following two properties.

- It only maps vertices of G' to the vertices of the hexagon $h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_6$,
- all gadgets are mapped identically to the hexagon in H, that is, for all pre-coloured vertices $u \in V(G)$ and for every $1 \leq i \leq 6$, $g((u, i)) = h_i$.

The first property holds because $c(a) > T$ for every vertex $a \in V(H)$ other than the vertices of the hexagon (and the fact that, by definition, all vertex weights are positive integers). In fact, we must have $w(u) \times c(g(u)) \leq T$, or equivalently, $c(g(u)) < \frac{(T+1)}{w(u)}$, for every vertex $u \in V(G')$. This restricts possible images of vertices with large vertex weights. Consider vertices in the gadget of a vertex $u \in V(G')$. For instance, every $(u, 4)$ must map to either h_1 or h_4. Similarly, none of the $(u, 3)$ vertices can map to any vertex other than h_1, h_3, or h_4. Given that $(u, 3)$ and $(u, 4)$ are adjacent in G', their images must also be adjacent in H. This enforces $f((u, 3)) = h_3$ and $f((u, 4)) = h_4$ (for every u that has a gadget in G'). Similar to $(u, 4)$, g must also map every $(u, 1)$ to either h_1 or h_4, but $g((u, 1)) = h_4$ is not feasible as it does not leave any options for the image of $(u, 2)$. Hence, $g((u, 1)) = h_1$. This further implies that $g((u, 6)) = h_6$ (as it is adjacent to $(u, 1)$), and finally, $g((u, 2)) = h_2$ and $g((u, 5)) = h_5$.

It is now easy to verify that for every vertex $u \in V(G)$ pre-coloured j, we always have $g(u) = h_j$. This is because u is adjacent to $(u, j-1)$ and $(u, j+1)$ in G' and the only vertex in H that is adjacent to the $g((u, j-1)) = h_{j-1}$ and $g((u, j+1)) = h_{j+1}$ and the cost of mapping to it is less than or equal to T is h_j. This completes the proof as we can define a homomorphism $f : G \to C$ extending the pre-colouring by setting $f(v) = i \iff g(v) = h_i$. ■

A shorthand of the construction used in the above proof is shown in Figure 2.

We now extend Lemma 4 to larger even cycles.

Lemma 6. *Let H be a bipartite graph which contains a cycle of length at least eight as an induced subgraph. Then the weighted minimum constrained cost homomorphism problem to H is NP-complete.*



Fig. 2. A hexagon in H together with associated homomorphism costs (left), and a gadget in G' together with vertex weights (right).

Proof sketch. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 4. We only discuss the reduction here. Let $C = 1, 2, \cdots, 2k$ be an even cycle, and $h_1 h_2 \cdots h_{2k}$ be an induced subgraph of H which is isomorphic to C ($k \geq 4$). Again, we reduce from OAL-HOM(C). We take an instance of the OAL-HOM(C), i.e., a graph G with $n \geq 2$ vertices and $m \geq 1$ edges, with some vertices of G pre-coloured by vertices of C. We construct a corresponding instance (G', c, w, T) of the weighted minimum constrained cost homomorphism problem to H.

The graph G' is constructed exactly as before: we start with a copy of G and for every vertex v pre-coloured by t, we add the cartesian product of v and C using $2k$ new vertices and $2k$ new edges. Finally, make v adjacent to two vertices in its corresponding gadget, $(v, t-1)$ and $(v, t+1)$ (all indices modulo $2k$).

We define the vertex weight function w as follows.

- for every vertex v in the copy of G, let $w(v) = 1$
- for every pre-coloured vertex $v \in V(G)$:
  - $w((v,1)) = w((v,4)) = 50kn^2$,
  - $w((v,2)) = w((v,3)) = w((v,5)) = 1$,
  - $w((v,i)) = 9n$ for all $6 \leq i \leq 2k$

We define the homomorphism cost function c as follows.

- $c(h_1) = c(h_4) = 0$,
- $c(h_2) = c(h_3) = c(h_5) = 8kn$,
- $c(h_i) = 1$ for all $6 \leq i \leq 2k$,
- $c(h_i) = 50kn^2$ otherwise.

Finally, we set $T = 50kn^2 - 1$. As in the proof of Lemma 4, we argue that there is a homomorphism of G to C extending the pre-colouring if and only if there is a homomorphism of G' to H with cost at most T.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2, as chordal bipartite graphs have no induced cycles of length greater than four.

We note that Theorem 2 gives only a partial dichotomy for the minimum constrained cost homomorphism problem, as there is a gap between the class of chordal bipartite graphs and the class of proper interval bigraphs. Specifically, the following result clarifies the gap.

Lemma 7. *[20] A chordal bipartite graph H is a proper interval bigraph if and only if it does not contain a bipartite claw, a bipartite net, or a bipartite tent.*



Fig. 3. The bipartite claw, net and tent

3 The Dichotomy For Trees

In this section, we prove an extension of Theorem 2 to graphs H that contain a bipartite claw. As in the case of large cycles, we focus on the weighted version of the problem and show that it is NP-complete when the target graph H contains a bipartite claw. As a corollary we will obtain our dichotomy classification for trees, Theorem 1.

Lemma 8. *Let H be a fixed graph containing the bipartite claw as an induced subgraph. Then the weighted minimum constrained cost homomorphism problem to H is NP-complete.*

It is well known that the problem of finding a maximum independent set in a graph is NP-complete. Alekseev and Lozin citelozin proved that the problem is still NP-complete even when the input is restricted to be a 3-partite graph, cf. Gutin, Hell, Rafiey and Yeo [6].

Theorem 9. *[21,6] The problem of finding a maximum independent set in a 3-partite graph G, even given the three partite sets, in NP-complete.*

The main idea of the proof of Lemma 8 is similar to the proofs of Lemmata 4 and 6. We show that finding an independent set of size at least k in an arbitrary 3-partite graph G is equivalent to finding a homomorphism of cost at most k' in an auxiliary graph G' together with constrained costs c and vertex weights w. To construct G', we start by adding a fixed number of placeholder vertices; vertices that, with the appropriate weights and costs, always map to the same specific vertices of the target graph H in any homomorphism of G' to H of minimum cost. We then use these placeholder vertices in our construction to ensure that the vertices corresponding to each part of the the input graph G are only mapped to certain vertices of H.

Proof. The membership in NP is clear. To show that the problem is NP-hard, we reduce from the problem of finding a maximum independent set in a 3-partite graph, stated in Theorem 9. Let G be a a 3-partite graph in which we seek an independent set of size k, with parts V_1, V_2, and V_3, and denote by and n and m the number of vertices and edges in G, respectively. We assume that G is non-empty. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $|V_1| \geq 1$. We construct an instance $(G', c, w, T_{G,k})$ of the weighted minimum cost graph homomorphism and show that G has an independent set of size k if and only if there is a homomorphism of G' to H with cost less than or equal to $T_{G,k}$.

We construct the bipartite graph G' as follows. Subdivide every edge e in G using a new vertex d_e (which is adjacent to both ends of e). Add three vertices b_1, b_2 and b_3 and make each b_i adjacent to all vertices in V_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Finally, add three more vertices c_0, c_1 and c_2. Make c_0 adjacent to b_1, b_2 and b_3, c_1 adjacent to b_1 and c_2 adjacent to b_2. A 3-partite graph G together with its corresponding G' is depicted in Figure 4. For future reference, we denote the set $\{b_1, b_2, b_3, c_0, c_1, c_2\}$ by V_4.

Let $H' = (X, Y)$ be an induced subgraph of H which is isomorphic to a bipartite claw with parts $X = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ and $Y = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$, and edge set

$$E' = \{u_1v_1, u_2v_2, u_3v_3, u_1v_0, u_2v_0, u_3v_0\}.$$

Define the homomorphism cost function c as follows (see Figure 5).

- $c(v_0) = 4$
- $c(v_1) = c(u_1) = 1$
- $c(u_2) = c(v_3) = 3$
- $c(v_2) = c(u_3) = 0$
- $c(u) = 160n(m + n)$ for every other vertex $u \notin X \cup Y$

Define the vertex weights of G' as follows.

- $w(b_1) = w(c_1) = 50n(m + n)$
- $w(b_3) = w(c_2) = 160n(m + n)$
- $w(b_2) = w(c_0) = 1$
- $w(u) = 4(m + n)$ for every vertex $u \in V_1$
- $w(u) = 3(m + n)$ for every vertex $u \in V_2$
- $w(u) = 12(m + n)$ for every vertex $u \in V_3$



Fig. 4. A 3-partite graph G with parts $V_1 = \{x_1, x_2\}$, $V_2 = \{y_1, y_2\}$, $V_3 = \{z_1\}$ (left) and its corresponding bipartite graph G' (right)

Finally, let $T_{G,k}$ be the sum of the following values.

- $T^1_{G,k} = 16(m+n)|V_1|$,
- $T^2_{G,k} = 12(m+n)|V_2|$,
- $T^3_{G,k} = 48(m+n)|V_3|$,
- $T^4_{G,k} = 2 \times 50n(m+n) + 4 + 3$,
- $T^e_{G,k} = 3m$, and,
- $T^I_{G,k} = -12(m+n)k$.

Or equivalently:

$$T_{G,k} = 100n(m+n) + 7 + 3m + (4|V_1| + 36|V_3|)(m+n) + 12(m+n)(n-k)$$

We prove that G has an independent set of size k if and only if there is a homomorphism of G' to H of cost less than or equal to $T_{G,k}$.

First, assume that I is an independent set of size k in G with parts $I_1 \subset V_1$, $I_2 \subset V_2$, and $I_3 \subset V_3$. Let k_i denote $|I_i|$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$. Define the homomorphism f_I as follows.

- $f_I(u) = v_i$ for all vertices $u \in I_i$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$,
- $f_I(u) = v_0$ for all vertices $u \in V(G) - I$,
- $f_I(d_e) = u_j$ for every edge e with one end in I_j $(j = 1, 2, 3)$,
- $f_I(d_e) = u_3$ for every edge e with both ends in $V - I$,
- $f_I(b_j) = u_j$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$, and finally,
- $f_I(c_k) = v_k$ for $k = 0, 1, 2$.



Fig. 5. A bipartite claw, with homomorphism costs

Notice that at most one end of each edge is in I, hence, the above assignment is indeed a function. In fact, it is easy to verify that f_I is a homomorphism.

- edges subdivided from edges e with both ends in $V - I$ map to v_0u_3,
- edges subdivided from edges e with one end in I_i and the other end in $V - I$ map to u_iv_i and u_iv_0 $(i = 1, 2, 3)$,
- edges connecting b_i to V_i map to u_iv_i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$,
- c_0b_i map to v_0u_i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$, and,
- b_ic_i map to v_iu_i $(i = 1, 2)$.

We now compute the cost of f_I and show that it does not exceed $T_{G,k}$.

- The vertices in V_1 contribute exactly $(|V_1| - k_1) \times 16(m+n) + k_1 \times 4(m+n)$, or, $T^1_{G,k} - 12k_1(m + n)$,
- the vertices in V_2 contribute exactly $(|V_2| - k_2) \times 12(m + n) + k_1 \times 0$, or, $T^2_{G,k} - 12k_2(m + n)$,
- the vertices in V_3 contribute exactly $(|V_3| - k_3) \times 48(m+n) + k_3 \times 36(m+n)$, or, $T^3_{G,k} - 12k_3(m + n)$,
- the vertices in V_4 contribute a total of $100n(m+n)+7 = T^4_{G,k}$ (see Table 1),
- the vertices d_e contribute at most $3m = T^e_{G,k}$.

Notice that $k = k_1 + k_2 + k_3$, hence, the cost of f_I is at most $T_{G,k}$.

Conversely, assume that f is a homomorphism of G' to H which costs less than or equal to $T_{G,k}$. Note that $T_{G,k} < 150n(m + n)$. This prevents any vertex v to map to a vertex a when $c(v, a) \times w(v) \geq T_{G,k}$. In particular, b_1 and c_1 can only map to vertices a with $c(a) < 3$, i.e, v_1, u_1, v_2, u_3. But b_1 and c_1 are adjacent and the only edge in H among these four vertices is u_1v_1. Similarly, b_3 and c_2 can only map to u_3 or v_2. Observe that $f(b_3) = v_2$ is not feasible, as it implies $f(c_0) = u_2$ and hence $f(b_1) \in \{v_0, v_2\}$. Thus, we have $f(b_3) = u_3$, $f(b_1) = u_1$, $f(c_1) = v_1$, $f(c_0) = v_0$, $f(c_2) = v_2$, and finally $f(b_2) = u_2$.

This restricts possible images of vertices in V. Specifically, all vertices in V_1 are adjacent to b_1, thus, f can only map them to v_1 or v_0, the neighbourhood

vertex v	$w(v)$	$f_I(v)$	$c(f_I(v))$	contributed cost of v
b_1	$50n(m+n)$	u_1	1	$50n(m+n)$
b_2	1	u_2	3	3
b_3	$160n(m+n)$	u_3	0	0
c_0	1	v_0	4	4
c_1	$50n(m+n)$	v_1	1	$50n(m+n)$
c_2	$160n(m+n)$	v_2	0	0

Table 1. contribution of vertices in V_4 to the cost of homomorphism f_I

of $u_1 = f(b_1)$. Similarly, each vertex in V_2 will only map to v_2 or v_0, and each vertex in V_3 will only map to v_3 or v_0.

Let I denote the set of vertices of G that f maps to v_1, v_2 or v_3. Notice that I is an independent set in G. This is because any two adjacent vertices in G are of distance two in G' but the shortest path between v_1 and v_2, or between v_2 and v_3, or between v_3 and v_1 in H' has length 4.

We complete the proof by showing that $|I| \geq k$. Let $|I| = k'$ and assume for a contradiction that $k' < k$. Let f_I denote the homomorphism of G' to H constructed from I as described in the first part of the proof with $cost(f_I) \leq T_{G,k'}$. Observe that f and f_I are identical for every vertex $v \in V_i$ $(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$. Hence, $|cost(f) - cost(f_I)| \leq 3m$. This implies that $cost(f_I) \leq cost(f) + 3m$. Also, note that $cost(f_I) \geq T_{G,k'} - 3m$, hence, we have $T_{G,k'} - 3m \leq T_{G,k} + 3m$, or equivalently, $T_{G,k'} - T_{G,k} \leq 6m$. But this is a contradiction because:

$$T_{G,k'} - T_{G,k} = T^I_{G,k'} - T^I_{G,k} = 12(m+n)(k-k') \geq 12(m+n).$$

■

We can now apply Theorem 3 and derive the same conclusion for the problem without vertex weights.

Theorem 10. *Let H be a fixed graph containing the bipartite claw as an induced subgraph. Then the minimum constrained cost homomorphism problem to H is NP-complete.*

Note that Lemma 7 implies that for trees, a chordal bipartite H is a proper interval bigraph if and only if it does not contain an induced bipartite claw. Thus we obtain Theorem 1 as a corollary.

4 Conclusion

We left open the complexity of the minimum constrained cost graph homomorphism problems in general. In particular, it remains to check whether the problem is NP-complete also for graphs H that contain a bipartite net or a bipartite tent.

References

1. P. Hell and J. Nešetřil, On the complexity of H-coloring, J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B, 48 (1990) 92 – 110.
2. T. Feder, P. Hell, and J. Huang, List homomorphisms and circular arc graphs, Combinatorica 19 (1999) 487 – 505.
3. G. Gutin, A. Rafiey, A. Yeo, M. Tso Level of repair analysis and minimum cost homomorphisms of graphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 154 (2006) 881 – 889.
4. Amotz Bar-Noy, Guy Kortsarz, Minimum Color Sum of Bipartite Graphs, J. Algorithms, 28 (1998) 339 – 365.
5. K. Supowit, Finding a maximum planar subset of a set of nets in a channel, IEEE Trans. Computer-Aided Design 6 (1987) 93 – 94.
6. G. Gutin, P. Hell, A. Rafiey and A. Yeo, A dichotomy for minimum cost homomorphisms, European J. Comb. 29 (2008) 900 – 911.
7. Tomás Feder and Moshe Y. Vardi, The Computational Structure of Monotone Monadic SNP and Constraint Satisfaction: A Study through Datalog and Group Theory, SIAM J. Comp. 28 (1998) 57 – 104.
8. A. Bulatov, P. Jeavons and A. Krokhin, Classifying the Complexity of Constraints Using Finite Algebras, SIAM J. Comp., 34(3), 720 - 742.
9. T. Feder, P. Hell, and J. Huang, Bi-arc graphs and the complexity of list homomorphisms, J. Graph Th. 42 (2003) 61 – 80.
10. Bulatov, A., Complexity of Conservative Constraint Satisfaction Problems, ACM Trans. Comput. Logic 12 (2011) 24:1 – 24:66.
11. P. Hell and A. Rafiey, The Dichotomy of Minimum Cost Homomorphism Problems for Digraphs, SIAM J. Disc. Math. 26(4) 1597 – 1608.
12. P. Hell and A. Rafiey, The dichotomy of list homomorphisms for digraphs, in Proceedings of the Symposium on Discrete Algorithms **SODA** 2011, pp. 1703 – 1713.
13. P. Hell and A. Rafiey, Duality for Min-Max Orderings and Dichotomy for Min Cost Homomorphisms, arXiv preprint (2009) arXiv:0907.3016
14. P. Hell and A. Rafiey, Minimum Cost Homomorphism Problems to Smooth and Balanced Digraphs, manuscript, 2007.
15. Rustem Takhanov, A Dichotomy Theorem for the General Minimum Cost Homomorphism Problem, 27th International Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science 5 (2010) 657 – 668.
16. Kolmogorov, V. and Živný, S., The Complexity of Conservative Valued CSPs, J. ACM 60 (2013) 10:1 – 10:38.
17. H. Müller, Recognizing interval digraphs and interval bigraphs in polynomial, Discrete Applied Mathematics 78 (1997) 189 – 205.
18. J. Spinrad, A. Brandstädt, and L. Stewart, Bipartite permutation graphs, Discrete Applied Mathematics 18 (1987) 279 – 292.
19. T. Feder, P. Hell List Homomorphism to reflexive graphs, J. Combin. Theory B 72 (1998) 236 – 250.
20. P. Hell and J. Huang, Interval bigraphs and circular arc graphs, J. Graph Theory 46 (2004) 313 – 327.
21. V.E. Alekseev and V.V. Lozin, Independent sets of maximum weight in (p,q)-colorable graphs, Discrete Mathematics 265 (2003) 351 –356.